## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

CARGILL, INCORPORATED,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	8:11CV81
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
KURT KROEGER, KATHY	)	MEMORANDUM
KROEGER, and H & M FARMS,	)	AND ORDER
INC.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	

In this case one or more motions in limine have been filed (filings <u>157</u>, <u>160</u>, <u>162</u>, <u>166</u>, <u>168</u>, <u>170</u>). It appears that the court should defer consideration of such motions until at or about time of trial, unless some reason is shown why earlier consideration is warranted.

In addition to the motions in limine referenced above, plaintiff Cargill has filed a Motion in Limine for a Rule 104(a) Hearing (filing 164). Inasmuch as I have previously carefully considered and denied (filing 150) Cargill's *Daubert* motion (filing 102), and because Cargill has failed to provide a specific reason to hold a Rule 104(a) hearing, I shall deny Cargill's motion in limine.

Accordingly,

## IT IS ORDERED:

1. The motions in limine (filings 157, 160, 162, 166, 168, 170) shall be held in abeyance and not considered until the first day of trial during the pretrial conference which will be held in chambers immediately prior to trial, unless a party shall show

cause, within five working days of this order, why such deferral would be inappropriate; and

2. Plaintiff Cargill's Motion in Limine for a Rule 104(a) Hearing (filing 164) is denied.

DATED this 27<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2012.

BY THE COURT:

Richard G. Kopf

Senior United States District Judge

<sup>\*</sup>This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the court.